- The DAA and Fingal County Council in the Dublin Airport Noise Action Plan claim that aircraft types have changed in Dublin Airport between 2003 to 2017 resulting in quieter aircraft. However, noise exposure levels grew exponentially in line with movement increases.
- At the Oral Hearing in 2007 for the North Runway, figures were presented comparing 2007 levels to a 2025 forecast. The increase in population exposed with the 2025 forecast scenario was deemed unacceptable by An Bord Pleanala's consultant, Mr Rupert Thornely-Taylor. The figures in the DAA's current proposal are higher again. In 2007 the forecast noise exposure figures were deemed to be unacceptable from a health point of view. How can they be acceptable now?
- Noise levels submitted by the DAA to the St Margaret's The Ward group for various noise emissions for specific aircraft types indicate that there is very little difference in the actual measured noise level between the older and newer aircraft. Therefore, the assertions claimed regarding fleet replacements is totally flawed
- Using DAA's own forecasts for arrivals and departures there appears to be no reason for proposing a change from the current flight restrictions as there is little or no difference in proposed movements
- The reports on cost effectiveness submitted by the DAA exclude quantification of costs associated with the adverse health effects inflicted on residents. This item was specifically requested by ANCA and was not provided by the DAA. We in St Margaret's The Ward as citizens were expecting this information to be presented to us as requested by ANCA. We refer to our submission on Public Health where we have evaluated the costs associated with the adverse health effects inflicted on us which indicated that the total yearly cost based on the 2019 figures is a staggering 610 million euro. How are we expected to suffer these costs to protect our health?
- The cost effectiveness analysis (CEA) submitted by Riondo does not meet the requirements of EU598/2014 as it does not take into account of the current flight restrictions in place at Dublin Airport. The report therefore is misleading and inaccurate.
- The cost effectiveness analysis as submitted by Ricondo does not take into account the costs associated with Carbon Emissions nor does it indicate the costs in meeting Ireland's requirements under the Climate Action and Low Carbon (Amendment) Act 2021 for the proposed revision to the current restrictions.
- The EIAR submitted does not meet the requirements set out in the EPA guidance as it does not take
 into account the foreseeable and planned increase in passenger numbers above 32 million passengers and
 is considered 'project splitting'.

Signed 🥂	spent May	rphj.		Print Name:_	ROBERT 1	HURPHY
Address:_	SHALLON	LANE	THE	LARD	DUBLIN	/
Date:	27. th, Feb	oruary, 2022				

- The DAA and Pilipa Courty Chances in the Dublin Airport Noise Action Plan claim than aircraft Ayoes service manged in Public Airport not the death 2007 resulting in quieter aircraft. However, noise and the lands guar expendition in the with airportment in creates.
- Authorization of the marestal in our of further than the configures were presented companing 2007 levels to a 1025 forecast. The marestal in our of all on exposed with the 2025 forecast scenario was deemed unaurable to the figures in the Draft of curves the property of the figures which Draft Draft our curves the property as a figure word decared to be an our course figure word decared to be an our course figure and to be an our course figure or the first of the school of the power of the power
- . The collection of the collection of the Schlerger d's the Word group for vertous noise emissions for s, which air not the particular the collections in the actual measured noise level between the other sections defined in gending finetriaplacements is sectively devode.
- East and the control of the second states and departures there expedit to his described and property of the control of the con
- The report of the effections is submitted by the DAA endude quantification of costs associated who to not ease liberth in heart out residence. This removas preconstity requests if by ANDA and we not provided by the DAA. We to so we gastis if this his clicans were appeared by the DAA. We to so we have distant or in his associated by the other we have be presented to us in a cours as usual to the other than health. Then side is inhibited on or which indicates we have yearly east based on the DAC is a section of the total vestion and the Stock is an expected to suffer the surface of the Analysis is the Holling of the Holling contribution of the Holling and the Holling contribution of the Holling and the Holling contribution of the Holling and the Holling contributions.
- The curt offer liveties analysis (199) so unitsed by wondo does not must the requirements of 21/138/2014 as a does not take into account of the current flight resultations in place at bublic Alepoint The report therefore is misleading and into sure.
 - The corresponding to a subject to the corresponding to the corresponding
- The EPA guidance as it does not meet the requirements set but in the EPA guidance as it does not take into a count the foreseeable and planned increase in passenger numbers above 72 million passengers and is considered 'project coliting'.

1403	401	Prince Marrough		end to the second	1 Same
				V	
				See the No.	